UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LORISA PINEDA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

LAKE CONSUMER PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendant

Case No. 24-cv-1074

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff Lorisa Pineda's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement of claims against Defendant Lake Consumer Products, Inc. ("Lake" or "Defendant"), and for Award of Plaintiff's Counsel's Fees and Expenses and Class Representative Service Payment. The Motion came before the Court for hearing in courtroom of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in Easton, PA, on October 15, 2026 at 11:00 a.m. Having read all the papers filed in connection with the Motion, reviewed all the evidence submitted with respect to the proposed Settlement, and heard the arguments of counsel, the Court finds the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court finds as follows:

1. Unless otherwise indicated, capitalized terms have the same meanings as those set forth in the Parties' Settlement Agreement.

2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all Settlement Class Members, and the Court has subject matter jurisdiction to approve the Settlement Agreement, including all exhibits thereto, and to enter this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment.

3. The Class Notice plan implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Amended Preliminary Approval Order (1) constitutes the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (2) constitutes notice that is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, their right to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement, and their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing; (3) is reasonable and constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (4) meets all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, and the rules of the Court.

4. All 164,931 Email Notices sent to potential Settlement Class Members whose contact information was reasonably attainable by the Settlement Administrator were successfully delivered. The Settlement Administrator also initiated the Court-approved Internet Notice campaign and maintained the Settlement Website, which allowed Settlement Class Members to view and download the Long Form Notice and other case documents, including the Claim Form.

5. As of the Objection Deadline, no Settlement Class Member had objected to or commented on the Settlement.

6. As of the Exclusion Deadline, the following 37 Class Members have opted out of the Settlement: see Exhibit A hereto.

7. The Court finds that the Settlement Administrator properly notified the appropriate state and federal officials of the Settlement Agreement, pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715, and that more than ninety (90) days have elapsed since service of the CAFA notice.

8. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and for purposes of this Settlement only, the Court finally certifies the Settlement Class, defined as follows: all persons in the United States or its territories who purchased any of the Products for personal, family or household

purposes between January 1, 2021 and June 23, 2026, excluding (a) any individuals who have pending litigation against Lake; (b) any Settlement Class Members who file a timely request for exclusion; (c) any current officers, directors, or shareholders of Lake; (d) any legal counsel or employee of legal counsel for Lake; (e) any federal, state, or local government entities; and (f) any judicial officers presiding over the Action and the members of their immediate family and judicial staff.

9. The Settlement Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The Settlement Class consists of hundreds or more of class members, and the Settlement Class Members have been determined by objective means from Lake's records and the claims and notice processes approved by the Court.

10. There are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, centered around the Products and Plaintiff's allegations that Lake did not properly manufacture the Products in accordance with current Good Manufacturing Practices and industry practice as to the levels of benzene present in the Products.

11. The claims of Class Representative Lorisa Pineda are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members. The Class Representative and each member of the Settlement Class are alleged to have suffered the same type of injury caused by the same course of conduct.

12. The Class Representative has fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class. The Class Representative is a member of the Settlement Class. Neither the Class Representative nor Class Counsel have any conflicts of interest with the Settlement Class Members. The Court confirms Ruben Honik and David J. Stanoch of Honik LLC, and Conlee S. Whiteley of Kanner & Whiteley LLC, as Class Counsel for purposes of the Settlement, and finds that Class Counsel have demonstrated that they have adequately represented the Settlement Class.

13. The questions of law or fact common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.

14. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, as the Settlement substantially benefits both the litigants and the Court, and there are few manageability issues, as settlement is proposed rather than a further trial.

15. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interests of all Settlement Class Members, taking into account the following factors: (1) the complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation; (2) the reaction of the class to the Settlement; (3) the stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed; (4) the risks of establishing liability; (5) the risks of establishing damages; (6) the risks of maintaining the class action through the trial; (7) the ability of Defendant to withstand a greater judgment; (8) the range of reasonableness of the Settlement in light of the best possible recovery; and (9) the range of reasonableness of the Settlement to a possible recovery in light of all the attendant risks of litigation.

16. The plan for distribution of the Settlement Benefits, including the non-reversionary Cash Settlement Fund of $700,000 and the Non-Monetary Fund of $500,000 in Vouchers, for a total Settlement Benefit of $1,200,000, is fair and equitable. The Settlement Administrator shall perform the distribution to Settlement Class Members following the process set forth in the Settlement Agreement without further order of this Court.

17. The Settlement Class Members have been provided due process in receiving notice of the Settlement and Settlement Agreement.

18. The Court has held a hearing to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the proposed Settlement. There were no objections to the Settlement, certification of the Settlement Class, Plaintiff's Counsel’s Fees and Expenses, the Class Representative Service

Payment, and entry of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment.

19. The National Psoriasis Foundation is approved as an appropriate *cy pres* recipient under the Settlement Agreement.

20. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally **APPROVES** the proposed Settlement as reflected in the Settlement Agreement, the terms of which, including but not limited to the Releases, are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

The Court having granted final approval to the Settlement Agreement, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

1. The Settlement Agreement is approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, the Settlement Class Members; the Parties and their counsel are directed to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions; and the Settlement Agreement is declared to be binding on, and have preclusive effect on, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of Plaintiff and each Settlement Class Member, who did not timely opt out of the Settlement, against Defendant and the Released Parties.

2. The Parties are hereby directed to take all actions required under the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

3. To the extent permitted by law, and without affecting the other provisions of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment is intended by the Parties and the Court to be res judicata, and to prohibit and preclude any prior, concurrent, or subsequent litigation brought individually, or in the name of, and/or otherwise on behalf of the Settlement Class Members with respect to any and all claims, rights, demands, actions, causes of action, suits, debts, liens, contracts, liabilities, agreements, costs, expenses, or

losses arising out of or relating to the claims released under the Settlement Agreement.

4. All persons who are Settlement Class Members are bound by this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment and are enjoined from instituting, maintaining, prosecuting, or enforcing, either directly or indirectly, any claims discharged by the Settlement Agreement.

5. The Court's order approving Motion for Plaintiff's Counsel's Fees and Expenses and the Class Representative Service Payment (ECF 72) is incorporated herein.

6. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over this Action as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including as to the following matters: (i) enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (ii) issues relating to Settlement Administration; and (iii) enforcement of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, and any order relating to Plaintiff's Counsel's Fees and Expenses and the Class Representative Service Payment.

7. All of Plaintiff's individual claims and Settlement Class Members' claims asserted against the Released Parties are dismissed with prejudice, without fees or costs to any Party, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment. No just reason exists for delay in entering this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment. The Clerk shall **CLOSE** this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 15th **day of** October **, 2026**

/s/ Catherine Henry

CATHERINE HENRY, J.

Exhibit A (Valid Requests for Exclusion)

First Name	Last Name	City	State
Scott	Pryor	Olympia	WA
SOLON	BAROCAS	BROOKLYN	NY
Amy	Diaz	Toronto	WA
David	Salemi	Chester	MD
Judi	Reinert	Carroll	TX
Taylor	Shultz	Lexington	KY
Erin	Lindeman	Wheaton	IL
Evangeline	McMullen	Philadelphia	PA
Andrew	Thai	san francisco	CA
Evan	Kim	San Francisco	CA
Benjamin	Johnson	Statham	GA
Benjamin	McCormick	Beaver Falls	PA
ALAN	BURNS	Grayslake	IL
Harlyn	Oman	WELLSVILLE	UT
Charles	bates	EDEN	NC
Edgar l	Folmar jr	houston	TX
Vivian	Johnson	Brownsville	TX
Zachary	Shender	Westland	MI
mEGHAN	gRANT	Cornelius	NC
joshua	longanecker	waconia	MN
Jacob	hall	reston	VA
Timothy	Stephenson	Los Angeles	CA
Toan	Huynh	CastRO Valley	CA
Kevin	Mulligan	Melbourne Beach	FL
DONNA	MUNEER	Portage	MI
Jennifer	Grisolia	Raleigh	NC
Adam	chandler	olmsted twp	OH
Ursula	angell	los angeles	CA
Isabella	Jakstis	Rancho Cucamonga	CA
Tomi	Dittburner	Bancroft	WI
Jodi	Wagley	Humble	TX
Emma	Bush	Blue Springs	MO
Charlie	Advincula	Kirkland	WA
Trelise	Guest	Memphis	TN
Naveen	Yaramala	Berkeley	CA
DeBBIE	Lintz	Norfolk	VA
Leonard D	McCumber Jr	Dallas	TX